The Reaction of Bis(dimethylglyoximato)(pyridine)cobalt(ι) Anion with CF₂=CFX (X = CI or Br): Evidence for Competitive Reaction Paths

Paul J. Toscano* and Elizabeth Barren

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, NY 12222, U.S.A.

The course of reaction of the 'supernucleophile' $[pyCo(DH)_2]^-$ (py = pyridine, DH = dimethylglyoximato) with CF₂=CFX depends upon the nature of X to give $[pyCo(DH)_2(CF_2CFCIH)]$ (X = CI) and $[pyCo(DH)_2(CF=CF_2)]$ (X = Br) as the major isolated products.

The nature and reactivity patterns of reduced cobalt(1) 'supernucleophiles' have been the subject of intense study over the years.^{1,2} Early investigations³ suggested that bis(dimethylglyoximato)(pyridine)cobalt(1) anion, $[pyCo(DH)_2]^-$ (1),[†] reacts with electrophiles such as alkyl halides *via* an S_N 2 mechanism. Later studies involving bulky alkyl halides and methyl toluene-*p*-sulphonates⁴ and a recent reinterpretation⁵ of the previously obtained data have indicated that an electron-transfer pathway is also feasible for alkylation reactions of (1).

As part of a program aimed at synthesizing organocobalt(III) complexes containing highly fluorinated alkyl groups for the purpose of comparing their structural and spectroscopic properties to non-fluorinated analogues, we have investigated the interaction of (1) with CF_2 =CFX (X = Cl or Br, see equation 1). In this Communication, we report a novel change in product distribution for equation 1 as a function of X.

$$(Co)^{-} + CF_2 = CFX \rightarrow (Co) - CF_2CFXH + (Co) - CF = CF_2 + (Co) - X \quad (1)$$

where $(Co) = pyCo(DH)_2$ fragment

The complex $[pyCo(DH)_2]^-(1)$ was prepared by reaction of $[pyCo(DH)_2Cl]$ with one molar equivalent of sodium borohydride in strongly alkaline methanol under argon. When CF₂=CFCl was bubbled briefly through the resulting solution, an immediate colour change from green to deep red-orange occurred. Stirring overnight, concentration of the reaction mixture, and recrystallization from acetone-water afforded yellow-orange (2) in 60% yield.

The product (2) was tentatively identified as

[pyCo(DH)₂(CF₂CFClH)]‡§ from its ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra and their similarity to those of [(CO)₅M(CF₂CFClH)] (M = Mn, Re).^{6,7} The two F nuclei on the α -C atom of the alkyl group are diastereotopic and appear as broadened doublets (J 205 Hz) due to incomplete averaging of coupling to the quadrupolar ⁵⁹Co nucleus.⁸ The unequivocal geometry of the alkyl group in this complex was proved conclusively by the independent synthesis of (2) from (1) and BrCF₂CFClH.

On the other hand, treatment of (1) with CF₂=CFBr produced a red-brown solution. Shiny, golden-yellow (3) was isolated in 20% yield after concentration and acetone-water recrystallization. The purified material has resonances in its ¹H n.m.r. spectrum assignable only to py and DH ligands; its ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum is wholly consistent with a σ -bonded trifluorovinyl structure, [pyCo(DH)₂(CF=CF₂)].⁹§

Significantly, before purification, trace amounts of (3) and $[pyCo(DH)_2Cl]$ (4) were observed in the crude preparation of (2) from (1) and CF₂=CFCl, while minor impurities of $[pyCo(DH)_2Br]$ (5) and $[pyCo(DH)_2(CF_2CFBrH)]$ (6) were detectable in unrecrystallized (3) from (1) and CF₂=CFBr. In

For (3): ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃): δ 8.58 (d, 2H, α-H py), 7.78 (t, 1H, γ-H py), 7.36 (t, 2H, β-H py), 2.20 (s, 12H, DH–CH₃); ¹⁹F n.m.r. (CDCl₃): δ -87.9 [slightly broadened d of d, 1F, F *trans* to Co, ²*J* (F–F) 97 Hz, ³*J* (F–F) 51 Hz], -128.8 [sh. d of d, 1F, F *cis* to Co, ²*J* (F–F) 97 Hz, ³*J* (F–F) 109 Hz], -168.5 p.p.m. (v br. s, 1F, Co–CF).

[†] Abbreviations: py = pyridine, DH = dimethylglyoximato.

[‡] Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for complexes (2) and (3).

[§] Spectroscopic data for (2): ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃, rel. to internal Me₄Si): δ 8.48 (d, 2H, α -H py), 7.76 (t, 1H, γ -H py), 7.34 (t, 2H, β -H py), 6.15 [d of d of d, 1H, CFClH, ²J(H–F) 45 Hz, ³J (H–F) 1.5, 21 Hz], 2.19 and 2.17 (two s arising from magnetic anisotropy due to asymmetric centre in alkyl group, 12H, DH–CH₃); ¹⁹F n.m.r. (CDCl₃, rel. to internal CFCl₃): δ –88.3 and –97.2 [2 × br. d arising from magnetic anisotropy, 2F, CF₂, ²J (F–F) 205 Hz], –143.0 p.p.m. [d of d of d, 1F, CFClH, ²J (H–F) 45 Hz, ³J (F–F) 16, 23 Hz].

$$(Co^{II}) - CF_{2}\bar{C}FX \xrightarrow{H^{*}} (Co^{III}) - CF_{2}CFXH$$

$$(2) X = CI$$

$$(2) X = CI$$

$$(1) \xrightarrow{b} \{(Co^{II}) + (CF_{2} = CFX)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \longrightarrow \{(Co^{II}) + CF_{2} = CF + X^{-}\}$$

$$(Co^{III}) + (CF_{2} = CFX)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \longrightarrow \{(Co^{III}) + CF_{2} = CF + X^{-}\}$$

$$(Co^{III}) - X + CF_{2} = CF \xrightarrow{(Co^{III})} (Co^{III}) - CF = CF_{2}$$

$$(4) X = CI$$

$$(5) X = Br$$

$$(Co^{III}) - CF = CF_{2}$$

$$(3)$$

Scheme 1. $(Co) = pyCo(DH)_2$; possible side reactions of radicals not shown.

fact, in some preparations of (3), nearly equimolar amounts of (3) and (5) were obtained (the different results are probably caused by differences in the rate of addition of the gaseous alkene). Furthermore, $[pyCo^{II}(DH)_2]$ reacts with CF₂=CFBr in methanol to give (3) and (5). These results suggest that competitive nucleophilic addition (a) and single electron-transfer (b) reaction pathways are probably responsible for the formation of the observed products, with the former predominating for X = Cl and the latter for X = Br (see Scheme 1). To our knowledge this is the first example in which the structure of the major product, derived from the interaction of a transition metal nucleophile with CF₂=CFX, is determined by the nature of X.

For the present case of (1) and $CF_2=CFX$, if the radical anion ($CF_2=CFX$) $\overline{\cdot}$ forms significantly faster or is able to dissociate more easily for X = Br than Cl, then the electron-transfer pathway may prevail over nucleophilic addition. Support for retardation of the rate for the electrontransfer reaction involving (1) and $CF_2=CFCl$ may be derived from prior investigations¹⁰ of (1) with PhCH=CHX where the ease of halogen substitution increased dramatically for X = Br *vs.* Cl. On the other hand, (1) is known to react with $CH_2=CHY$, where Y is an electron-withdrawing group such as CN or CO_2Me , to give the nucleophilic addition product $[pyCo(DH)_2(CH_2CH_2Y)]$.¹¹ Consequently, we observe that nucleophilic addition predominates for $CF_2=CFCl$ where substitution by (1) should be slow, while electron transfermediated substitution is observed for (1) and $CF_2=CFBr$. We note that formation of (5) *via* a direct bromophilic attack on $CF_2=CFBr$ by (1) cannot be excluded at this time.¹²

We thank the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society and the State University of New York Faculty Research Award Program for their generous support.

Received, 23rd December 1988; Com. 8/05010D

References

- 1 P. J. Toscano and L. G. Marzilli, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1984, 34, 105.
- 2 G. N. Schrauzer, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 1969, 158, 526.
- 3 G. N. Schrauzer, E. Deutsch, and R. J. Windgassen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 2441; G. N. Schrauzer and E. Deutsch, ibid., 1969, 91, 3441; F. R. Jensen, V. Madan, and D. H. Buchanan, ibid., 1970, 92, 1414.
- 4 M. Okabe and M. Tada, *Chem. Lett.*, 1980, 831; M. Okabe and M. Tada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1982, **55**, 1498.
- 5 D. Datta and G. T. Sharma, Inorg. Chem., 1987, 26, 329.
- 6 M. I. Bruce, P. W. Jolly, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A., 1966, 1602.
- 7 J. B. Wilford and F. G. A. Stone, Inorg. Chem., 1965, 4, 93.
- 8 M. J. Mays and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 6629.
- 9 V. A. Mukhedkar and A. J. Mukhedkar, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1981, 43, 2801, and references therein.
- 10 D. Dodd, M. D. Johnson, B. S. Meeks, D. M. Titchmarsh, K. N. V. Duong, and A. Gaudemer, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 2, 1976, 1261.
- 11 G. N. Schrauzer and R. J. Windgassen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 1999.
- 12 X.-K. Jiang, G.-Z. Ji, and Y.-Q. Shi, J. Fluor. Chem., 1987, 37, 405, and references therein.